Guided Pathways Implementation Team

November 27, 2018 | 1pm-3pm | L215

2018-19 Outcomes

Increase the number and percentage of students who:

- Attempt 15 units in the first term
- Attempt 30 units in the first year
- Complete transfer-level math and English in the first year
- Complete 9 core pathway units in the first year

CLARIFY THE PATH STAY ON THE PATH ENSURE LEARNING

2018-19 Draft Goals:

- 1. Institutionalize the Guided Pathways Implementation Team work and communication
- 2. Develop and publish maps for all programs of study in the Program Pathways Mapper
- 3. Improve systematic coordination with K-12 partners to improve alignment
- 4. Improve placement practices to become compliant with AB 705; establish a co-requisite support model for college-level English and math courses
- 5. Redesign the work of BC Educational Advisors to directly advance the momentum points

Present: Lesley Bonds, Jessica Wojtysiak, Jennifer Johnson, Nick Strobel, Brynn Schock, Aricia Leighton, Grace Commiso, Leo Ocampo, Dan Hall

Guests: Jennifer Jett, Bill Moseley, Victor Diaz, Andrea Thorson

Not Present: Corny Rodriguez, Steve Holmes, Craig Hayward

Draft Agenda

Time	Item	Lead
1-1:10	Review of Minutes • Commiso confirmed that the CSUs will accept courses with the embedded co-requisite as transferable and will separate the co-req unit on their end Strategic Directions Report	Bonds, Wojtysiak
	 Reintroduced exploratory Pathways 101 course discussion Health Sciences meta-major Pathway as an example to see which programs of study within the Learning & Career Pathway might be the right fit Recommendation for the course in the first term Potential alignment with Student Development Connect with administration regarding courses that are non-transferable 	Johnson

1:10-	AB 705 - English Update	Jett,
1:35	Spring English placement document	Thorson
	Corequisite course with standalone lab called LRNC B512 is a	
	 temporary structure for spring 2019 before fall 2019 LRNC B512 is English 1a with 1.5 lab course attached to it 	
	 LRNC B512 is English 1a with 1.5 lab course attached to it Had to be listed as 2 courses in spring for articulation so 	
	the course will transfer but will be a single, blended	
	course in fall 2019	
	 Jett shared that the department developed a lab manual 	
	for the 1.5 hour course has been developed and	
	disseminated to all instructors teaching LRNC B512	
	 Lab units cost students less (0.5 unit of lab compared to 2 hours of lecture) 	
	o Lab course will have support from peer experts	
	(Thorson described as a bridge between an SI leader and	
	a tutor)	
	 If a student fail one component, he/she fails both courses. The 	
	student cannot drop the lab or not show to the lab without then	
	being dropped from the lecture	
	 In fall 2019, the course will be called English B1AL Summer 2019 will be either LRNC or ENGLB1AL but the board 	
	of trustees must approve; update forthcoming.	
	 Wojtysiak asked about English 50 considering throughput rates 	
	do not meet the chancellor's office guidelines; Jett and Thorson	
	confirmed they are still finalizing fall 2019 discussions regarding	
	one level below. English 50 will be offered in spring but fall	
	2019 information is forthcoming	
	 Johnson shared curriculum can provide a "fact sheet' to counseling in time for February advising for April registration 	
	which will include these updates, among others	
1:35-	AB 705 - Guided Self-Placement	Commiso,
2:00	Commsio shared an update regarding Guided Self-Placement: Commsio shared an update regarding Guided Self-Placement: Commsio shared an update regarding Guided Self-Placement:	Moseley
	pulling from best practices at other colleges such as Sierra, Mira Costa, etc. to develop the tool.	
	 Guided self-placement is not intended for high school students – 	
	intended only for students who do not have access to their high	
	school transcripts/performance data	
	 Commiso and Moseley working together to create an online tool 	
	Decision-trees are based on current placement criteria for	
	counselors when working with students; intended to be a tool	
	for counselors/advisorsWill be adding a question regarding preferred language for	
	Will be adding a question regarding preferred language for EMLS up front to determine the appropriate branch in the	
	decision tree	
	Recommendation to add a "Don't Know" or "Unsure" option with	
	an auto-response suggesting the student contact counseling	
	Suggestion to move from a word-limit (1000 word essay) to a	
	page number (4-5 pages, double-spaced)	

	 Will be working with the departments to determine appropriate questions to ask the student: 1) research paper, 2) citing sources, etc. Wojtysiak recommended the first question be: "Do you intend to transfer?" to allow the decision tree to branch off toward either Tech math or a non-transferable math Tool at this time cannot connect to banner or automate the placement; still very much requires a manual counseling touch and manual placement 	
2:00-2:25	 AB 705 - Communication Updates Thorson described that team pulled data from 3 years back for students with a high school GPA between a 1.9 and 2.6 GPA; used that list as the contact list for a round of emails and letters describing placement update. In addition, some phone calls When LRNC wasn't enrolling at the level to be expected at this point, Dean Thorson pulled together a task force to develop and distribute letters to students in their courses Thorson led effort to create a letter which was packaged and hand delivered to students' current courses Appx 2,500 letters went to 280 professors to distribute Letter was confidential, sealed in an envelope. Participating professors volunteered to distribute Counseling student employees also phoned students with a script and sent text messages + robo calls Enrollment in LRNC increased approximately 11% following distribution of the letters, yet courses are not full Diaz reported that the counseling department is feeling up-to-date on the information and hearing students ask questions about their placement related to 705 Wojtysiak questioned the decision-making process: in what ways were faculty engaged and at which points in time? Thorson pointed to FCDC, College Council, task force meetings, Senate presentations, etc. at points in time faculty received communication and had opportunity for input, to ask questions, etc.	Commiso, Hroch, Diaz, Thorson
2:25- 2:30	Closing Comments/Questions and Adjourn	