Equal Opportunity and Diversity Advisory Committee

General Meeting Minutes: October 5, 2020- 4:00 PM

Topic • Call to Order 4:07pm **Roll Call** Murad Zikri, Faculty Vikki Coffee, Classified Co-Chair Bryan Hirayama, Faculty Co-chair Jeff Newby, Faculty Allison Ament, Faculty Carla Gard, Administrator Venessa Reyes, Classified Joseph Tipay, Faculty Paula Parks, Faculty Abel Guzman, Administrator Co-chair Amalia Calderon, Administrator Nick Strobel, Faculty Maria Wright, Faculty Armando Trujillo, Classified Tommy Tunson, Administrator Jacy Hill, Faculty Alex Rockey, Faculty Leo Ocampo, Administrator Trudi Blanco, Classified Oliver Rosales, Faculty Jaime Lopez, Administrator Reggie Bolton, Administrator Jennifer Achan, Administrator Andrew Bond, Faculty Naomi Rutuku, Faculty Lily Pimentel, Faculty Zav Dadabhoy, Administrator Marisa Marquez, Administrator Steve Holmes, Faculty Joshua Rocha, Faculty Visitors Aaron Beale Alexis Brown

Alexis Brown Blake Burton Matthew Garrett Hortense Taylor Gabriel Beale Elias Sloan

Introductions

- Matt Garrett from Senate Executive Board
- Joshua Rocha just applied and got approved to join
- Steve Holmes from Academic Senate

• Co-Chair Reports

- Faculty: Hirayama
 - Senate Meeting Debrief
 - Membership- there was confusion on who are voting members.
 - Educator Mentorship Project the work for next meeting will start this week to be ready by next Wednesday.
 - EEO Plan- Vikki, Abel, Bryan, Helen Acosta, Nicky Damania. We are up for EEO revision and want committee input. KCCD is considering bringing in consultant (Lasana Hotep)
- Admin: Guzman
 - USC eConvenings- Project is going well so far, getting some good feedback. Would like to develop toolkit of resources and publish on website. Next group will attend next Monday. We will get out to the group the remaining topics, please email EODAC email if you are interested in any of the workshops. 5 faculty/staff every workshops for the rest of workshops.
 - Shared on USC meeting- 10,000 students received survey, 50 campuses, still have 21 campuses to go (Newby)
 - Committee Member Expectations- if you are in more than one subcommittee, please plan accordingly and communicate with subcommittee leads. Please make sure that you engage and participate. We want to make sure that this are productive conversations happen at this meeting and that we walk away with action items (Guzman)
- Classified: Coffee
 - Classified have increased their participation in different committees and that is great. I will get up to speed by end of day and send some emails to some of you.
- Membership- Need to table conversation until we receive clarification from Tarina
 - Dr. Zikri
 - Lilly Pimentel-Stratton
 - Gilbert Ayuk
 - Allison Ament
 - Nick Strobel
 - Victor Diaz

I will get clarification and we may need to vote by email to approve. (Bryan)

- Old Business
 - Approval of Minutes (Next Meeting)

• New Business

- Updated Racial Equity Commitments- We took version 9, you will notice that racial equity was changes to ethnic racial equity. This version also has specific examples of what BC has already done and what we will be doing. The idea is to share with the campus that this is just a continuity of what we have been doing. This version also has a preamble, places our racial equity commitments within broader equity mission. When we were developing the Gen Ed packet, it could have been done by curriculum, but we decided to engage the whole campus, we would like something similar for this to have campus support. (Strobel)
- This is not set in stone, the work of equity is never ending. As the work evolves and the needs evolved, the document will continue changing. (Guzman)
- The document from the physical science department was based on 21st meeting. This version 10 is from stuff Steve Holmes and I took from different areas. Physical science department has not seen this latest version. (Strobel)
- There is no crosswalk between doc that came from physical science and the document nick and I produced. (Holmes)
- EODAC needs to hear the campus community concerns, make updates and take to senate and college council for approval. (Holmes)
- For commitment #4 we did not put in that we are also reaching out to rural and county agencies within the law enforcement areas (Tunson)
- *From Lily Pimentel to Everyone: 04:41 PM* I may have missed it in the doc. Just want to make sure this version also includes equity for individuals with disabilities.
- To clarify that we have included the preamble (Strobel)
- Members encouraged to send feedback this week to EODAC email. (Guzman)
- Maybe there is language in HEAL or other areas to help clarify what collective consciousness raising is (Rosales)
- I have not checked science feedback, but there were a lot of questions regarding definitions of terms (Holmes)
- Oliver, if you have some language that you find in the USC site, please send our way (Strobel).
- I like the details and the links, can you also do a link for the chancellor's vision for success link in the initial blurb? (Parks)
- Maybe including something from ASCCC may be helpful to include (Hirayama)
- Commitment #3, the last bullet point do we have some detailed document that has what the current training entails? (Bond)

 -	It is a training that still has faults in it, hiring committee
	members receive folder with information (Calderon)
-	Not only do you provide the packet, but you provide verbal tips (Holmes)
-	There is a significant difference with not being able to ask
	certain questions and judging the applicants (Bond)
-	Applicant should be given a number and that is it (Holmes)
-	Other colleges have done it, there was a document with best
	practices from other colleges with that suggestion, but we were
	not successful in implementing it (Hirayama)
-	From Trudi Blanco to Everyone: 04:57 PM
	We also tell everyone on a hiring committee not to research
	them on social media
-	From Amalia Calderon to Everyone: 04:59 PM
	I did ask that and our Applicant tracking does not have the
	capability at this time.
-	Why don't we give everyone until the end of the week, end of
	day Friday to submit suggestions since everyone is super busy
	(Guzman)
-	There is ways to do it, but it would have to be a different
	applicant tracking system (Calderon)
-	These came down from the chancellors as a mandate, We can
	water it down, but when the state chancellor makes a
	determination and I can understand the process of it, but I have
	an issue with watering it down. The state chancellor made a
	mandate and we are watering it down (Bolton)
-	I want to make sure we understand the legislative intent, but
	when you start diluting and modifying it takes away from it.
	(Tunson)
-	From Lily Pimentel to Everyone: 05:08 PM
	I want to me more informed, can we have a specific example of
	how we've watered this message down?
-	Where does the chancellors call to action fall in? We are
	changing what the state chancellor said (Bolton)
-	The difference here is that certain racial groups have been
	impacted historically by public policy (Rosales)
-	Commitment #2, If you leave it as racial equity student success
	agenda, would we need to delete that second bullet that is there
	as an example? (Strobel)
-	There was at least 2 faculties that said they were mixed race and
	they did not fall within a group and that is why they had an issue
	with "racial equity". (Holmes)
-	We are not looking at anecdotal experience, we are looking at
	institutional and national trends. We are looking at broader
	issues (Bond)
-	From Jeff Newby to Everyone: 05:12 PM

Committee Member Forum		
	-	No, the last thing we want is to hold up process (Hirayama)
		membership? (Strobel)
	-	Do we want to have the committee looking at expanding
		need to take the suggestions provided (Hirayama)
	-	The document was created without the proper vetting and we
	-	There is nothing to vote on right now (Holmes)
	-	English department is voting on version 9. (Bond)
		discussions we have heard for 40 years (Tunson)
		Let's put the document together and move forward, these are
	_	There are 3 definitive races, I agree with footnote to describe.
	_	Is latinx a scholarly definition? (Strobel)
	-	(Rosales)
	-	The further you move from that, you are losing the intent
	_	We have to be very strategic of what more we add (Hirayama)
	-	Commitment #3, I would also include personnel profile (Guzman).
		students identify as people of color (Bond)
	-	We need to include the student profile in there, 80% of our students identify as people of color (Bond)
		think we need to get back to that (Wright) We need to include the student profile in there, 80% of our
		institution we have to rely on data that has been normed, I do
	-	I understand that, but when we have national trends beyond our
		that data that comes out of our IR office (Hirayama)
	-	According to some, the data is skewed. We even have to defend that data that somes out of our ID office (Uirayama)
		away from what people feel and focus on the why (Wright)
		addendum some of the research that is available. We need to shy
		look at the mission of the institution, we can embed in the
		unintentionally failing our students. Let's look at the why and
		at the data that is available and where are we intentionally or
	-	To add to Andrew point, we need to focus on the "why", looking
		I'm mixed and I agree with Andrew.
		Agree
	-	From Joseph Tipay to Everyone: 05:15 PM
		That's my understanding as well