

College Council
Meeting Minutes

November 14, 2014

<https://committees.kccd.edu/meeting/1476#overlay-context=bc/committee/collegecouncil>

Members Present: Sonya Christian (by phone); Nan Gomez-Heitzeberg (by phone); Zav Dadabhoy (by phone); Anthony Culpepper, Nick Strobel, Janet Thomas, Jennifer Johnson, Edie Nelson, Alice Desilagua, Steven Holmes, Jason Stratton, Kathy Rosellini, Ann Tatum, Bernadette Martinez, Tina Johnson, Jennifer Marden

Members Absent: Cindy Collier, Primavera Arvizu, Kate Pluta, Sue Vaughn

Guests: Michael Self, Grace Commiso

Next meeting: December 5, 2014

WELCOME & OVERVIEW of the AGENDA

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

A motion was made by Johnson and seconded by Gomez-Heitzeberg to approve the College Council minutes of October 31, 2014.

DISTRICT CONSULTATION COUNCIL

Board Policy 10A: https://committees.kccd.edu/sites/committees.kccd.edu/files/DCC%2010-28-14-%203a.%20BBP%20B10A%20B%20C3%82%20A0I_0.pdf

- Academic Senate asked that two sections B5 and B16 be returned to the policy. This has been completed.
- Other issues related to having access to detailed job descriptions for the Chancellor and Presidents. The Chancellor feels this matter is address in Policy 10A7 and that Board approved job descriptions for the CEOs will be accessible.
- 10A62 – Presidents have responsibility for assignment of all college staff as approved by the Board of Trustees; 10A5F is in conflict with this language. This Senate issue is going forward to District Consultation Council at the next meeting

PRESIDENT'S REPORT

<https://committees.kccd.edu/sites/committees.kccd.edu/files/Foundation%20Board%20of%20Director%20Nov%206%202014%20%5BCompatibility%20Mode%5D.pdf>

- Bond – Foundation Finance Committee on October 27 Foundation Board on November 6 unanimously and enthusiastically supports proceeding with Bond 2016 and will fund

the initial \$35,000 cover the cost of polling that needs to be completed by a Public Research firm to assess the readiness of the voters to support a bond..

- Campaign costs in 2002 were approximately \$330K; expect the campaign costs to be closer to \$500K for the 2016 campaign
- 70-80% of the construction will directly support student instruction
- Chancellor to start briefing Board; anticipate their approval in January on two matters:
 - should we indeed go out for a bond and
 - area needs to be defined (i.e. college by college or districtwide)
- Spoke with 2002 voter polling consultant; discussed voter population shift; will have consultant address Board of Trustees either in December or January
- SFID (School Facilities Improvement District)
- Have we spent the revenue generated from the 2002 Measure G fund? Will need to demonstrate that we have effectively allocated this revenue.
- Slide #4 shows the remaining projects on the Measure G list which leaves the college with a \$5 million gap; bond brief gives more detail
<https://committees.kccd.edu/sites/committees.kccd.edu/files/Bond%20Project%20Brief%20141110%20%282%29.pdf>
- State capital money is not likely to be available as it was with the Performing Arts building
- Board of the Directors of the BC Foundation will take lead on these efforts
- Hoping to have strong employee support via employee organization groups
- On November 8, 2016 BC will be substantially better off, perhaps by \$330 million
- Timeline:
 - Phase I: Obtain approvals (Spring 2014 to Early Spring 2015)
 - _ Develop the Facilities master plan
 - _ Present and obtain approval by the BC Foundation Board
 - _ Present and obtain approval by the KCCD Board of trustees
 - _ Initial Evaluation of Feasibility of Bond Measure Passage (public surveys)
 - Phase II: Bond preparation and engagement process (Spring 2015 to July 2016)
 - _ Set up Campaign Infrastructure
 - _ Develop strategy
 - _ Start public advocacy and campaign
 - Phase III: Final 4-month sprint (Aug to Nov 2016)
 - _ Phone
 - _ Door-to-door
 - _ other

BACHELOR'S OF APPLIED SCIENCE (BAS)

<https://committees.kccd.edu/sites/committees.kccd.edu/files/Applied%20Bacc%20Board%20of%20Trustees%20Final%20Nov%2013%202014.pdf>

- As part of an advocacy campaign to demonstrate local support, BC is getting verbal commitments from community groups and business and industry (slides 10-11); Bresso taking lead on this effort
- Make sure we are putting forward our best and most assertive effort to be selected
- Others are involved with securing one-time funding from industry leaders and securing grant funding sources
- Financial analysis:
 - Scenario #1: Does not include any FTES reimbursement
 - Start-up costs are expected to \$1.4 million
 - Ongoing costs settle to \$34,000 after 3 years (no additional apportionment)
 - Scenario #2: Includes FTES reimbursement for additional sections taught
 - Start-up costs still at \$1.4million
 - Ongoing revenue settles to \$900K (additional apportionment)
- Confident we could launch junior level courses as early as Fall 2016
- Topic will return to College Council agenda

SARS ALERT UPDATE

<https://committees.kccd.edu/sites/committees.kccd.edu/files/CollegeCouncilSARSAlertUpdate%20%282%29.pdf>

Grace Commiso provided an update on the early alert system and provided the following highlights.

- Workshops and training videos are available
- Have identified limitations with SARS
- Grace Commiso and others researching alternative platforms with linked components
- Updates on this process will be given to College Council

SATISFACTION SURVEY

<https://committees.kccd.edu/sites/committees.kccd.edu/files/Bakersfield%20College%20Satisfaction%20Survey.pdf>

Michael Self reviewed a draft of the satisfaction survey and asked for College Council feedback.

- Survey components will evaluate the various initiatives and activities at BC
- Topics to include college and district strategic plans, participatory governance process, communication, and effectiveness of campus services and customer service
- Results will be used to implement process and service improvements