**Midterm Accreditation Report: College Council**

**Review of Standard IV.A**

**Instructions for Completing Form:**

* Carefully read the ACCJC statement for Standard I listed below
* For each of the seven (7) criteria associated with Standard IV.A, list responses (bullet format) for each appropriate column (BC Strengths; Evidence to Support Strengths; Areas for Improvement).
* Submit your completed form to Ann Tatum (atatum@bakersfieldcollege.edu ) by Friday, October 10, 2014.

|  |
| --- |
| **Standard IV: Leadership and Governance**The institution recognizes and uses the contributions of leadership throughout the organization for promoting student success, sustaining academic quality, integrity, fiscal stability, and continuous improvement of the institution. Governance roles are defined in policy and are designed to facilitate decisions that support student learning programs and services and improve institutional effectiveness, while acknowledging the designated responsibilities of the governing board and the chief executive officer. Through established governance structures, processes, and practices, the governing board, administrators, faculty, staff, and students work together for the good of the institution. In multi-college districts or systems, the roles within the district/system are clearly delineated. The multi-college district or system has policies for allocation of resources to adequately support and sustain the colleges.  |

**Standard IV.A. Decision-Making Roles and Processes**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ***ACCJC Criteria*** | ***Bakersfield College Strengths*** | ***Evidence and Documentation*** | ***BC Areas for Improvement*** |
| **IV.A.1.** Institutional leaders create and encourage innovation leading to institutional excellence. They support administrators, faculty, staff, and students, no matter what their official titles, in taking initiative for improving the practices, programs, and services in which they are involved. When ideas for improvement have policy or significant institution-wide implications, systematic participative processes are used to assure effective planning and implementation. |  |  |  |
| **IV.A.2.** The institution establishes and implements policy and procedures authorizing administrator, faculty, and staff participation in decision-making processes. The policy makes provisions for student participation and consideration of student views in those matters in which students have a direct and reasonable interest. Policy specifies the manner in which individuals bring forward ideas and work together on appropriate policy, planning, and special-purpose committees.  |  |  |  |
| **IV.A.3.** Administrators and faculty, through policy and procedures, have a substantive and clearly defined role in institutional governance and exercise a substantial voice in institutional policies, planning, and budget that relate to their areas of responsibility and expertise. |  |  |  |
| **IV.A.4.** Faculty and academic administrators, through policy and procedures, and through well-defined structures, have responsibility for recommendations about curriculum and student learning programs and services. |  |  |  |
| **IV.A.5.** Through its system of board and institutional governance, the institution ensures the appropriate consideration of relevant perspectives; decision-making aligned with expertise and responsibility; and timely action on institutional plans, policies, curricular change, and other key considerations. |  |  |  |
| **IV.A.6.** The processes for decision-making and the resulting decisions are documented and widely communicated across the institution. |  |  |  |
| **IV.A.7**. Leadership roles and the institution’s governance and decision-making policies, procedures, and processes are regularly evaluated to assure their integrity and effectiveness. The institution widely communicates the results of these evaluations and uses them as the basis for improvement. |  |  |  |