

Bakersfield College Assessment Committee Minutes

Meeting on January 22, 2021

Members:

Position	Name	Attendance	Position	Name	Attendance
Co-Chair	Lora Larkin	Present	Kinesiology/Health/Ath.	Tyler Thompson	Absent
Co-Chair	Brent Wilson	Present	Industrial Technology	VACANT	
Adjunct	Maria Wright	Present	Library/Academic Tech.	Faith Bradham	Present
Agriculture	Greg Cluff	Present	Mathematics	Isaac Vannasone	Present
Allied Health	Kellie Smith	Present	Nursing	Debra Kennedy	Present
Art	Darrin Ekern	Present	Performing Arts	Brian Sivesind	Present
Behavioral Sciences	Dana Heins-Gelder	Present	Philosophy	Bryan Russell	Absent
Biological Sciences	William Rockey	Present	Physical Sciences	Mike Daniel	Present
BMIT	Jen Menjivar	Present	Social Sciences	Jennifer Grohol	Present
Communication	Christine Cruz-Boone	Present	Classified	Christian Flores	Present
Counseling	Jeff Stambook	Present	Student Government	Alex Person	Absent
Education	Teresa McAllister	Present	Member-at-Large	Jordan Rude	Present
Engineering Systems	Justin Flint	Absent	Member-at-Large	Dianthe Hoffman	Present
English	Denise Mitchell	Present	Member-at-Large	Michael Huerta Jr.	Present
EMLS	Michael Westwood	Present	Member-at-Large	Juan Rodriguez	Present
FACE	Alex Gomez	Present	Institutional Effectiveness	Sooyeon Kim	Present
Public Safety	Jana Richardson	Present	Administrator	Stephen Waller	Present
Foreign Language/ASL	Pam Davis	Present			

Guests in attendance: Charles Truvillion (Public Safety Training)

I. Call to Order

Brent started the meeting at 10:33 am. Quorum met.

II. Good, Welfare, & Concerns

None.

III. Review and Approval of the Minutes

November 20, 2020 Minutes

m/s: Maria Wright/Mike Daniel

Vote for approval: Alex Gomez, Brian Sivesind, Christine Cruz-Boone, Christian Flores, Dana Heins-Gelder, Darrin Ekern, Debra Kennedy, Denise Mitchell, Dianthe Hoffman, Faith Bradham, Greg Cluff, Isaac Vannasone, Jana Richardson, Jeff Stambook, Jen Menjivar, Jennifer Grohol, Jordan Rude, Juan Rodriguez, Kellie Smith, Maria Wright, Michael Huerta Jr., Michael Westwood, Mike Daniel, Pam Davis, Sooyeon Kim, Stephen Waller, Teresa McAllister, William Rockey

Abstained: None

Opposed: None

Motion Passed

IV. Reports

a. Faculty Co-Chair

College Council approved the committee charge and our committee website has updated. Brent and Lora went through the comments for consistency in the feedback forms for program review assessment report. Assessment Committee feedback for program review assessment report has been entered into program reviews in eLumen. There were many trainings and workshops during flex week. Brent thanked everyone

who participated and helped. There is a free online SLO symposium next week from the 29th-30th and there is still time to register.

b. Administrative Co-Chair

Welcome back everyone and thank you for all of the volunteering during flex week. Steve Waller and I were discussing AOU and mapping to ILOs. This quantitative data is expected to be integrated with the SLO and ILO mappings. The combination of AOU and SLOs mapped to ILOs will provide more information in how the College performing. Please remember to watch your emails for updates to access to the campus.

c. SLO-PLO/SLO-ILO/SLO-GELO Mapping

Not much to report. Brent is still working on a list of which course SLOs need to be mapped to ILOs. These mappings are important since the ILO survey will be distributed this semester and data will be more meaningful with the mappings complete. Brent will be asking everyone to reach out to their departments if there are mappings missing.

d. ILO Sub-Team

Went over preliminary distribution of survey. It goes out to all students during weeks 6-8. Faith and Teresa came up with a great presentation explaining the survey. There were many questions regarding eLumen sent to Brent and he is working his way through them. Pam Rivers can also answer technical eLumen questions.

V. Assessment Roundtable

Brent is asking if there is anyone wanting to share. Steve wanted to schedule a date in March to discuss AOU within program review. Faith and Teresa are going to sign up.

VI. Opportunity to Address the Committee (2 minutes per item)

Charles Truvillion thanked everyone for asking him to join the meeting. He wants to learn more about assessments. Brent is asking Pam Rivers about one person entering data in eLumen for another. Greg is asking if there will be a chance to address that issue about one person entering data. Brent mentioned it would be under unfinished business.

VII. Additions to the Agenda (immediate action required, which did not come to the attention of the Co-Chairs until after the agenda was posted; requires 2/3 vote of members present)

None.

VIII. First Agenda (non-voting item)

None.

IX. Second Agenda (voting item)

None.

X. Unfinished Business

a. SLO Completion Tableau

How are we doing about getting information into elumen? Last meeting there were concerns about what the data would look like. Jordan and Dana volunteered to get input from faculty in their area. We need to be clear about what the data is and is not. Dana's area thought the data was misleading. What is the purpose of collecting the data? Brent and Lora may draft a statement to help understand better. The intention is this statement would be displayed before data is viewed.

b. Adjunct SLO assessment process discussion

We need a series of steps to address the process of who could/would enter the information into eLumen. Who gets the information, the department chair? A representative of the department? Still up for feedback. Charles mentioned Canvas shells, is there a way to set it up in Canvas? Brent mentioned there is, but in the past, it was awkward. Some faculty are using it. There are instructions on the Assessment Committee

website. Brent will work on a form that could be sent out to areas with adjunct faculty and professional experts so that this could be handed off to someone in the area to enter it in eLumen.

c. **ILO metric data for ISS from OIE**

AIQ and the President would like to see this happen. How are the College and students doing? Weighted vs. non-weighted ILO performance data? Consensus was to see both and then decide. Sooyeon shared how she compiled the data. She shared her screen with a lot of information. Steve thought the un-weighted would be more appropriate for accreditation (no manipulating of data). The weighted and un-weighted results are close to each other. Brent thinks there seems to be three options: weighted data, unweighted data, and percentage of courses meeting a standard. Steve thought it would be a good idea to get feedback from people involved with accreditation (Kimberly Nickell, Jessica Wojtysiak). Their opinions being part of other accreditation teams would be insightful whether we should choose weighted vs. non-weighted data. In the meantime, let Brent know if you have any ideas. Brent will reach out to Kim and Jessica.

XI. **New Business**

a. **Status update for 2020-21 assessment plans**

Still missing a few; we really need to get them in. Please ask your departments.

XII. **Assignments for SLO/PLO Review**

List posted to committee website. Brent will send out an email.

XIII. **Adjournment**

Brent ended the meeting at 12:01 pm. Next scheduled meeting is February 5, 2021.