

BAKERSFIELD COLLEGE

Accreditation and Institutional Quality (AIQ) Committee Notes

January 30th, 2024

3:00 to 4:30 CC 231

AIQ Membership:

Role	Member	Attendance	
Co-Chairs	Commiso, Grace (Faculty Chair)	X	
	Wojtysiak, Jessica (Admin Chair)	X	
Admin Rep	Jennifer Achan	X	
	Kim Arbolante	X	
	Leo Ocampo	X	
	Reggie Bolton	X	
	Sooyeon Kim	X	
Classified Rep	<i>Vacant</i>		
	<i>Vacant</i>		
	Ximena Ortega	X	
	<i>Vacant</i>		
Strategic Directions Co-Chair, or Designee	Kristin Rabe		
Faculty Rep	Ricardo Garza	<i>Assessment Committee</i>	X
	Kimberly Nickell	<i>Program Review</i>	X
	Sondra Keckley	<i>Library</i>	X
	Grace Commiso	<i>Counselor</i>	X
	Jason Stratton	<i>Social & Behavioral Sciences</i>	
	Laura Boots-Haupt	<i>Agriculture, Nutrition & Culinary Arts</i>	X
	Laura Miller	<i>Agriculture, Nutrition & Culinary Arts</i>	X
	Talita Pruett	<i>Arts, Humanities & Communication</i>	X
Matthew Meerdink	<i>STEM</i>	X	
	<i>Vacant</i>		
Student Reps	President Cindy Miranda		

	Vice President Amanda Anguiano		x
--	-----------------------------------	--	---

Agenda

<p>Approval of 11/28/23 Minutes 1st Talita, unanimous approval Set Note Taker Kim Nickell</p>	5 minutes
<p>Chairs Report: Grace & Jessica</p> <p>ACCJC Liaison visit – Feb 2nd</p> <p>Kevin Bontenbal Zoom in. Talita and Sondra ISER draft has been submitted to Kevin, so we will be going over that. Celebratory event and open forum for ISER at a later date. College Council end of fall approved the revised Mission, Vision, and Core Values. Academic Senate language suggestions were incorporated into the documents.</p> <p>AIQ has lost 2 members. Dina Hallmark and Patsy Garcia have moved on to new positions at other institutes. Co-chairs will reach out to Ian Mason for new classified members.</p>	10 minutes
<p>Assessment Report: Ricardo Garza</p> <p>Our last Assessment meeting in Fall was mostly wrapping up the semester and going over SLO plans, so nothing to report to AIQ. The next meeting is this Friday, Feb 2nd. Any new information I will pass along at our next meeting!</p>	5 minutes
<p>Program Review Report: Kim Nickell</p> <p>Will meet Feb. 6. Kim and Kristin will meet this week to begin our spring planning and set the agenda. Resource requests have been sent to all appropriate committees. Most of the program reviews have been read and feedback given.</p>	5 minutes
<p>Strategic Directions Report: Kristin Rabe</p> <p>No report, but Kristin and Manny have met. The question, should we wait until the district gets their SDs created before BC starts ours?</p>	5 minutes
<p>ISER Report: Jason Stratton</p> <p>Working with Team Leads on standards and more evidence. April 1st we want the first read at Senate. Share draft with AIQ-close to getting Standards 1&2 completed. Can share with AIQ after Kevin B's visit. Missing a few drafts for standards 3&4.</p>	5 minutes
<p>BC and KCCD Services and Institutional Quality Survey – Jessica & Grace</p> <p>Started back with reviewing comments at KCCD Human Resources. No changes to the document will be made except for blatant disregard for guidelines and use of names or specific positions.</p> <p>HR is good. No violations.</p> <p>KCCD IRB looks good.</p> <p>KCCD Board of Trustees allow BC president to do job effectively. We don't redact the president from this one because it is specific to assessing the president ability to complete allowable tasks. This is in question. Bullet point #4 may need redacting? For consistency, Jennifer motioned the</p>	30 minutes

<p>second line bullet point #4 and all but the first line of #7. Kim A. 2nd. 1 abstention and rest approved. Discussion on line #7 continued that may need to be moved to a miscellaneous section. Individual was not singled out by name, and it is the opinion of the surveyee. Point of clarification, motion to redact based on identifying by name and/or position. We went back to the guidelines to check if the comment needed redaction because the statement may not have fit that section. It has not been the action of AIQ to move comments. All but sentence one in bullet point #7 to be redacted.</p> <p>KCCD and Bakersfield College effectively communicate with each other fine KCCD Clearly delineates their own operational functions from those of Bakersfield College fine KCCD effectively controls its expenditures motion to redact last 2 sentences of bullet point #4. Referencing the specific position. The spirit of the line should be the office of or the position of. 2 abstentions, 11 nays, 1 aye. Motion fails, no redaction of sentence 2. Sentence #3 redaction, 2 abstentions, everyone else in favor.</p> <p>Bakersfield College's structure for decision making provides opportunities for stakeholder participation... fine Bakersfield College decision making practices supports climate of collaboration and innovation of mission and priorities... fine KCCD BOT functions effectively as a collective entity to promote BC's values, fiduciary ... move to redact bullet point #7, all but last sentence 8 abstentions, 3 nays, 2 ayes. Not redacted. Round of applause for the completing the comment section of our Climate Survey!!!!!!</p>			
<p style="text-align: center;">ISS Review – Jessica & Grace Tabled Persistence ISS Bakersfield College Persistence 2.1 dashboard Bakersfield College Guided Pathways Momentum Points with Full Ethnicity Tableau Public</p>		20 minutes	
Review Mission & Vision: Mission Statement Vision Statement	Date: 8/29/23 10/24/23	Review of Core Values: Learning Diversity Integrity Community Wellness Sustainability	Date: 9/12/23 9/26/23 10/10/23 10/10/23 10/10/23 10/10/23