

Accreditation Steering Committee
 November 15, 2011
 3:30 p.m. – 5:00 p.m.
 Levinson 40
 Agenda

- 1) Review and approve minutes—pending
- 2) **Second Collegewide Committee Report due December 1** (attachment)
- 3) **SEC update**—Becky and Bonnie
- 4) **Actionable Improvement Plans (AIP)**--proposed (attachment)
- 5) **Review Timeline for finalizing Self Evaluation Study:**
 - Editor receives Self Evaluation Study from SEC at December 6th ASC meeting
 - Editor reviews and revises for single voice and consistent content
 - Editor presents to ASC at February 7 meeting
 - ASC posts for college community response (1-2 week response time)
 - SEC subcommittees review college community responses and revise as needed (1-2 week response time)
 - ASC submits to BOT accreditation committee: Rosemarie Bans, Stu Witt, Kay Meek (2 week response time)
 - SEC revises as needed (1-2 weeks)
 - SEC presents to ASC at March 27 meeting
 - ASC submits to district in early April for May meeting
 - President/ALO presents to full BOT for its acceptance at May 3 meeting (at Cerro Coso)
 - Graphics prints and mails to ACCJC and visiting team.
- 6) **ASC reads Self Evaluation Draft**—timeline
- 7) **Self Evaluation writing request**—Ann

Could ASC discuss these at the meeting tomorrow? These sections received recommendations, so I'm sure an evaluator will scrutinize what is stated as well as the evidence.

Here are the standards and questions:

I.B.6. The institution assures the effectiveness of its ongoing planning and resource allocation processes by systematically reviewing and modifying, as appropriate, all parts of the cycle, including institutional and other research efforts.

- What processes does the institution use to assess the effectiveness of its cycle of evaluation, integrated planning, resource allocation implementation, and re-evaluation?
- How effective is the college planning process for fostering improvement?

I.B.7. The institution assesses its evaluation mechanisms through a systematic review of their effectiveness in improving instructional programs, student support services, and library and other learning support services.

- What mechanisms does the institution use to gather evidence about the effectiveness of programs and services?

- APRs

- Program level SLOs / AUOs

- How effectively do evaluation processes and results contribute to improvement in programs and services?

8) **Accreditation Liaison Officer (ALO) training report**—Nan

9) **Communication from ACCJC:** newsletter and Standards revision process—ACCJC letter (both attachments)

10) **Integrated Program Review**—subgroup report (Diana, Sue, Klint, and Billy)

Should this roll out 2013-14?

11) **Update on Program Review implementation**—Do we have evaluation results (Survey Monkey in APR)?

12) **ASC will need to evaluate Self Evaluation process.**

13) **Additional items?**

14) Adjourn - Next Meeting: Tuesday, November 22, 3:30 p.m. – 5:00 p.m. Levinson 40