

Program Review

Summary Report 2024-25

Academic Senate

Facilitating the Resource Allocation Process

- Classified Position Requests = 74
- Faculty Position Requests = 98
- Management Position Requests: 28
- Facilities Requests = 86
- ISIT Tech Requests = 67
- Other Equip Requests = 18
- Budget Development Forms Completed = 25
- Professional Development= 30

Program Review as an Agent for Change

- Opportunity to promote educational excellence.
- Improve instruction and services to students.
- Integrate systems between committees and initiatives.
- Feedback is a two-way street for improvement, which leads to greater potential for resources.
- eLumen has helped to create a more accessible and meaningful program review process.

Aligns with Accreditation & CCCC Vision for Success (2030)

- Program Review resource requests and budgeting processes
- Goals and requests align with Strategic Directions
- Closing the loop

Changes to the 2024-25 cycle

- Program Review was available in eLumen to all programs April 1, 2024
- List of programs was more conclusive this year in eLumen
- Assessment of Completed goals from previous cycle
- Five program review initiative types:
 - Instructional
 - Non-Instructional
 - Hybrid
 - 3 Year Comprehensive, Instructional and CTE
 - 3-Year Comprehensive Non-instructional (pilot)

Changes to the 2024-25 cycle

- Updated Canvas shell for Program Review
 - Modules to onboard new PRC members
 - Modules to train Program Review editors. Easy training for first timers.
- Feedback results
 - Refine and reformat questions to better suit program type
 - Streamline for relevancy

- Embedded equity and accessibility
 - Goals
 - Program Analysis
- KCCD Data in a variety of Tableau Dashboards

Trends

- Total number of completed program reviews is still concerning= 72% completion rate
 - Instructional Program Reviews= 49
 - Non-instructional Program Reviews=43
 - Lose ability to address resource needs for program improvement
- Accessing data is still confusing.
 - How to access the number of dashboards that are public facing.
 - Reaching out to FCDC to find out what they need.
 - OIE will help get the data that programs want
 - Many program reviews were effective, and many programs took advantage of creating a narrative around their data
- Positive feedback on the process in eLumen
- Well-established goals were apparent
- Programs reported out on completed goals
- Programs used feedback from last year to improve their processes
- AUOs were better developed and aligned to the Institutional Learning Outcomes

Planning for the 2025-26 Cycle

- Initiatives ready to campus world in April 1 for 2025-26 cycle
- Admin Council training in April
- Budget processes will change for the 25-26 cycle...stay tuned
- Would like to create a process for programs to check off to PRC that they have received notification that their program review is ready
 - Adding resource requests based on needs
 - Collaborators
- Improvements to Canvas shell for Program Review
 - Asynchronous videos for different initiative types
- Data: OIE to address faculty feedback on what data they want
 - Meet with FCDC
- Zoom training during department meetings spring '25, fall '25
- Workshops:
 - Department specific eLumen/Program Review
 - Flex Fall '25
 - Data/Tableau, Admin Unit Outcomes

The work continues...

Our commitment to improve will lead us into a better process in eLumen for the 2025-26 cycle!

We will continue our work to be transparent and steer us in a positive direction to benefit future generations to come at Bakersfield College.

Respectfully submitted,

Kimberly Nickell, Faculty Chair PRC