

Rationale for Standing Committee Annual Review Process

1. Identify the key Issue, novel situation, challenge, problem, etc.

President Fliger is implementing a practice that supports the [Academic Senate Bylaws Article VIII Section 7](#) requiring committee charges be reviewed each Fall semester.

Items, including reports, will not be entertained at College Council after the Fall semester if a committee charge has not been reviewed and approved by College Council.

2. Provide underlying rationale for addressing the issue.

Explore options for standardizing and aligning practices.

3. Include any relevant background and institutional history.

College Council is the President's Advisory Board to the College President per the [BC Decision Making Document](#).

Current Article VIII Section 7 of the Academic Senate Bylaws state "College-wide Committee charges are to be reviewed annually in the Fall semester and any revisions must be approved by the Senate (body rep.) before the new charge can take effect."

4. Anticipate opposing arguments.

Processes do not necessarily have to be aligned.

5. Articulate possible solution(s), highlighting support for the most desirable outcome.

a) Academic Senate follow College Council lead and not entertain items from committees after Fall semester if their charge has not been reviewed and approved by Academic Senate (e.g. keep items at Executive Board until the charge is reviewed and approved at Academic Senate).

b) Academic Senate follow College Council lead and not entertain items from committees after Fall semester if their charge has not been reviewed and approved by College Council (e.g. keep items at Executive Board until the charge is reviewed and approved at College Council).

c) Academic Senate revise Bylaws to be more lenient and require a committee charge review at least every two years.

d) Continue to entertain items from committees regardless of charge status.