	ISS Metric	Source	2013 <u>4</u> ¹	201 <u>5</u> 4	201 <u>6</u> 5	201 <u>7</u> 6	201 <u>8</u> 7	ISS	Goal
ates	Course Completion	Data Mart	69 <del>.0</del> %	6 <u>9</u> 8.8%	69 <del>.0</del> %	70 <del>.3</del> %	70.3 <u>69</u> %% <b>69.4%</b>	69%	72%
Fall Course Success Rates	Online Success	Data Mart	52 <del>.2</del> %	5 <del>5.</del> 6%	59.4%	63.3%	63.3%6 2% 62.1%	57%	67%
Course 2	CTE Success	Data Mart	73 <del>.7</del> %	75 <del>.5</del> %	77 <del>.2</del> %	78.1%	78.1% <u>7</u> 8% 77.9%	76%	80
Fall	Basic Skills Success	Data Mart	62.1%	55 <u>%</u> . <del>5</del>	55.3%	54.4%	54.4 <u>52</u> <u>%</u> % <b>52.3</b> %	55%	60%
	Transfer level English Achievement Year 1	<del>OIE</del> ESS	<u>26.1%</u>	29.2% <u>1</u> 7% 17%	29.9% <u>1</u> 6% 16%	39.1%2 2% 22%	39.1%2 4% <b>24%</b>	26% <u>17</u> % 17%	40%
Milestones	Transfer level Math Achievement Year 1	<del>OIE</del> ESS	<u></u> 9.5%	12.0%1 0% 10%	8.6%13 % 13%	10.9%1 2% 12%	10.9%1 4% 14%	12%	20%
N.	Transfer level Math and English Achieievmnt.	<u>ESS</u>	=	<u>5%</u>	<u>7%</u>	8%	9%	<u>7%</u>	<u>15%</u>
s.*	Associate's completion	KCCDOIE	1,026	966	1 <del>,</del> 236	1 <del>,</del> 293	1633 <del>1,</del> 293	1108	1200
Outcomes	Certificate completion	KCCDOIE	298	299	384	32 <u>7</u> 5	1361 <sub>32</sub> 5	316	400
On	Bachelor's completion	<u>OIE</u>				<u></u> 7	7	7	20
	Transfer Counts	KCCD	975	1 <del>,</del> 132	1 <del>,</del> 052	<u>1181</u>		850	1200

#### Formatted Table

Formatted: Position: Horizontal: Center, Relative to: Margin, Vertical: 0.25", Relative to: Paragraph

Formatted: Font: Italic

**Formatted:** Centered, Position: Horizontal: Center, Relative to: Margin, Vertical: 0.25", Relative to: Paragraph

**Formatted:** Position: Horizontal: Center, Relative to: Margin, Vertical: 0.25", Relative to: Paragraph

**Formatted:** Position: Horizontal: Center, Relative to: Margin, Vertical: 0.25", Relative to: Paragraph

Formatted: Position: Horizontal: Center, Relative to: Margin, Vertical: 0.25", Relative to: Paragraph

**Commented [CH1]:** Change to using the ESS cohort vs. the Scorecard cohort.

Formatted: Position: Horizontal: Center, Relative to: Margin, Vertical: 0.25", Relative to: Paragraph

Formatted: Font: Italic

**Formatted:** Position: Horizontal: Center, Relative to: Margin, Vertical: 0.25", Relative to: Paragraph

**Formatted:** Position: Horizontal: Center, Relative to: Margin, Vertical: 0.25", Relative to: Paragraph

**Formatted:** Position: Horizontal: Center, Relative to: Margin, Vertical: 0.25", Relative to: Paragraph

Formatted: Font: Italic

**Formatted:** Position: Horizontal: Center, Relative to: Margin, Vertical: 0.25", Relative to: Paragraph

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  The year shown refers to the fall term for the fall metrics and to the spring term (e.g., 2012-**2013**) of the academic year for the annual metrics.  $\frac{3/13/20193/12/20193/12/20193/1/20192/21/2019}{3/13/20193/12/20191/12/20193/12/20193/12/20191/12/20193/12/20191/20191$ 

	Skills Builders ADT completion	SSM <del>OJEC</del> CCCO Scorecard OJE	<del>80</del>	<del>172<u>1</u>96</del>	<del>303<u>421</u></del>	493 <u>552</u>	<del>824<u>760</u></del>	TBD700	TBD <u>900</u>
	Units at degree award	SSMOLE	<u>85.1</u>	<del>87.2</del> 93	<del>84.</del> 91	<del>86.9</del> 92	<del>83.9</del> 90	TBD91	<u>TBD82</u>
Pass	NCLEX - RN	Board	91.4%	91 <del>.2</del> %	92.5%	91 <del>.4</del> %	98% <del>91.</del> 4%	85%	95%
Licensing P. Rates	LVN Licensed Vocational Nursing	Board	100%	50%	89%			85%	95%
ens R	CNA	Board	90 <del>.2</del> %	93.5%				50%	95%
Lic	Radiologic Technology	Board	91 <del>.3</del> %	94.1%	85%	91%	<del>91%</del>	85%	95%
	Paramedic	Board	100%	94%	92 <del>.3</del> %			85%	100%

Formatted: Highlight
Formatted: Highlight
Formatted: Highlight
Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Position: Horizontal: Center, Relative to: Margin,

Vertical: 0.25", Relative to: Paragraph

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>-The median percentage change in wages for students who completed higher level CTE coursework and left the system without receiving any type of traditional outcome such as transfer to a four year college or completion of a degree or certificate.

	Employm	2013	2014	2015		Institution- Set Standard	Aspirational Standard	<b>4</b>	
	Employment in field	Employment in field SSM/CTEOS			<mark>66%</mark>	<mark>70%</mark>	<u>66%</u>	<u>75%</u>	
	NCLEX - RN	RN-Web	88%	91%	96%	A	75%	96%	
	LVN Nursing	LVN-Web	70%	89%	95%		75%	96%	
	CNA	Perkins/Datamart	62%	68%	81%		60%	80%	
	Radiologic Technology	Rad-tech Web	100%	100%	100%		75%	100%	•
	Paramedic	Paramedic-Web-page	76%	76%	71%		70%	80%	•
	Accounting	Perkins/ Datamart	53%	71%	80%	<u> </u>	60%	80%	
	Admin. of Justice	Perkins/ Datamart	74%	71%	86%	<u> </u>	60%	90%	
Job Placement Rates	Automotive Technology	Perkins/ Datamart	60%	57%	78%	<b>A</b>	60%	75%	
nen	Business & Commerce	Perkins/Datamart	43%	61%	75%		60%	80%	
acei	Child Development	Perkins/ Datamart	55%	62%	72%		55%	80%	
b P	Drafting	Perkins/ Datamart	69%	79%	77%	<u> </u>	60%	75%	
Jo	Electrical	Perkins/ Datamart	100%	100%	n/a		60%	80%	
	Electronics/Elec tech	Perkins/ Datamart	n/a	80%	76%	<u> </u>	60%	80%	
	Emergency Medical Tech	Perkins/ Datamart	68%	84%	82%	<u> </u>	60%	80%	4
	Fire Technology	Perkins/ Datamart	79%	88%	60%	A	50%	80%	4
	Human Services	Perkins/ Datamart	59%	68%	73%	A	50%	75%	+
	Machine Tool/ Shop	Perkins/ Datamart	71%	61%	89%		60%	85%	•
	Welding and Cutting	Perkins/ Datamart	83%	82%	71%		60%	70%	

-{	Formatted Table
1	Formatted: Highlight
1	Formatted: Font color: Accent 1, Highlight
-{	Formatted: Highlight
1	Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Highlight
1	Formatted: Highlight
1	Formatted: Font: Not Bold
1	Formatted: Font: Not Bold
1	Formatted: Font: Not Bold
1	Formatted: Centered
1	Formatted: Font: Not Bold
1	Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Y	Formatted: Centered
1	Formatted: Font: Not Bold
1	Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Y	Formatted Table
1	Formatted: Font: Not Bold
-{	Formatted: Font: Not Bold
-{	Formatted: Font: Not Bold
-{	Formatted: Centered
1	Formatted: Font: Not Bold
-{	Formatted: Centered
-{	Formatted: Font: Not Bold
-{	Formatted: Centered
1	Formatted: Font: Not Bold
1	Formatted: Centered
1	Formatted: Font: Not Bold
1	Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Y	Formatted: Centered

**ISS history** 

AIQ: 9/25/18; 2/26/19

Academic Senate Exec: 3/29/17; 2/15/18

Academic Senate General Session: 4/5/17; 9/1/17; 9/15/17; 2/21/18;

College Council: 3/17/17; 4/7/17; 4/21/17; 9/1/17; 9/15/17; 10/6/17; 2/2/18; 9/7/18

Data Coaches: 2/1/17; 2/28/17; 4/18/17; 2/12/18; 2/15/18

Presidents' Cabinet: 3/27/18; 4/1/17

### Notes from Data Coach ISS review session (2/13/18)

-Don't change remedial pass rate standard; there is a long-term trend downward and this trend is expected to continue as more students with stronger academic backgrounds are shifted directly into transfer-level classes. This trend will need to be countered by additional academic support, curricular redesign work, etc.

-Set the transfer-level math and English achievement metrics high. We expect to seriously move the needle on these metrics. Need additional research from OIE on what the actual math throughput rates are when **PSYC B5** is included in the math metric calculation.

-Check for updates to the Perkins data for employment rates. We have two programs with 50% employment rates as standards.

### Notes from Academic Senate General Session (2/21/18)

- -Increase fall course success rate goal by 2% (i.e., to 72%).
- -Increase standards for certificate and associate degree awards to equal the five year average.
- -Don't change the standard on course success rates for basic skills courses. This is an expected change.
- -Get additional information on transfer-math completion metric from OIE.

### AIQ review (9/27/18)

- -Added Bachelor's degree ISS with standard set to the size of the first graduating class and goal set to the size of the largest cohort.
- -Propose deleting two-year completion of transfer-level English and math since this is aligned with neither our GP momentum points nor the student-centered funding formula.
- -Propose shifting from Scorecard to OIE data source for completion of transfer-level English & Math for quicker updates and inclusion of PSYC B5 in transfer math.

### AIQ Review (2/12, 2/26, 3/12/2019)

Recommendations to align with the VfS (ADT Completion, Unit accumulation, Employment in Field)

3/13/20193/12/20193/12/20193/1/20192/21/2019

Data source documentation

ADT, Certificates & Associate degrees: Cognos report, "Awards by Type and Major"

