BAKERSFIELD COLLEGE

Accreditation and Institutional Quality (AIQ) Committee

Academic Senate Report Prepared by Jessica Wojtysiak, Faculty Chair September 19th, 2018

The Accreditation & Institutional Quality (AIQ) committee held its first meeting of the semester on Tuesday, August 28th, from 3:30 to 5:00 p.m. in Library 160. During the school year, we meet on the second and fourth Tuesday of each month. The charge of AIQ reads:

- 1. Ensure accreditation is an on-going process by guiding preparation of the self-evaluation, midterm, and follow-up reports.
- 2. Review and monitor collection of evidence and progress on Actionable Improvement Plans, accreditation recommendations, and institutional effectiveness indicators.
- 3. Inform, engage, and involve the college community in accreditation and institutional effectiveness.
- 4. Review and monitor evaluation activities to ensure they result in integrated, meaningful, and sustained college improvement.

We hit the ground running! The committee is working on revising the accreditation survey for fall 2018 distribution. This survey is a tool to evaluate the services provided by the BC President's Office and the KCCD District Office as required by ACCJC Standard IV. We have revised our charge and have submitted the revised charge for Senate approval.

Our accreditation site visit will occur during the first week of October (October 1st to 4th). On August 31st, we welcomed the evaluation team chair and her assistant for their preliminary visit to campus. The visit enabled us to discuss logistics and to introduce the chair and her assistant to several members of the campus community involved in the ISER development. The visiting team roster can be found here: <u>https://www.bakersfieldcollege.edu/accreditation/visiting-team-roster</u>.

In preparation for the October visit, the accreditation core team is organizing a series of visits to the campus committees to discuss the ISER and answer questions. The complete ISER is available at <u>https://www.bakersfieldcollege.edu/accreditation/2018ISER</u>. Flex week materials, including the bluff notes and the opening day presentation on decision-making, can be found here: <u>https://www.bakersfieldcollege.edu/accreditation/resources</u>. For those of you who are visual learners, we also have video summaries of the accreditation standards available here: <u>https://www.bakersfieldcollege.edu/accreditation/2018-iser-video-overviews</u>.

The creation of the ISER was a monumental task. Over 100 people were involved in telling the BC story through this document: writers, editors, researchers, and support. The list of contributors is contained in this report and will be kept as a part of the accreditation website. If

you believe anyone has been left off the list in error, please contact Jessica Wojtysiak (Jessica.wojtysiak@bakersfieldcollege.edu) to facilitate correction.

The Office of Institutional Effectiveness shared a report on student services (frequency of use, satisfaction, importance) as measured by the Community College Survey of Student Engagement (CCSSE). The written report is contained within this report. The report is available online at the AIQ website: <u>https://committees.kccd.edu/bc/committee/accreditation</u>.

The Office of Institutional Effectiveness has produced an early analysis of Multiple Measures 4.0 using Summer, 2018 data. This research brief is provided as a part of this report and is also available online at the AIQ committee webpage.

Finally, AIQ, in coordination with the Guided Pathways Implementation Taskforce, has worked to prepare a Fall, 2018 institutional projects document. This document identifies some of the special projects likely to be presented to our governance groups throughout the fall, semester. The document also serves as a reference identifying leads should anyone wish to learn more about the individual projects.

Thank you to all who contributed to the 2018 Institutional Self-Evaluation Report (ISER)!

We Are BC!

Abraham Castillo Alisha Loken Andrea Thorson Anna Laven Ashlea Ward Bernadette Martinez Bill Chapman Bill Moseley* **Bill Potter*** Brittney Lumsden Ross (student) Carla Gard Chad Hildago Chelsea Esquibias Chris Cruz (student) Chris Glaser Cindy Collier **Cindy Hubble** Corny Rodriguez Craig Hayward David Koeth* Deborah Rosenthal Dena Rhoades* Dezi Von Manos (student) Donald Chrusciel* Dontae Smith Jr. (student) Duane Anderson* Edie Nelson Eileen Pierce Emmanuel Limaco (student) Eric Sabella Erica Menchaca Erik Garcia (student) Erika Alvarez (student) Faith Bradham Ganae Romo (student) Grace Commiso* Greg Cluff Gustavo Enriquez Jahnea Palfrey

James Tompkins (student) Janet Fulks* Janet Thomas Jason Dixon* Jason Stratton* Jennifer Achan Jennifer Johnson* Jennifer Marden Jessica Wojtysiak* John Gerhold John Giertz John Hart Jonathan Schultz* Jose Cortez (student) Jose Eduardo Lopez (student) Juan Cantu (student) Judy Ahl Justin Flint* Katherine Ganster Keith Ford Keri Kennedy Kim Arbolante Kim Nickell* Kimberly Bligh Kirk Russell* Klint Rigby Krista Moreland* Kristin Rabe* Kristina Whitmore Laura Lorigo Lawrence Salcido (student) Lesley Bonds* Liz Rozell* Manny Mourtzanos* Manuel Zavala (student) Maria Wright Mary Jo Pasek Meg Stidham Michael Ivey*

* denotes standard lead.

Michael McClenic Michele Bresso Michelle Pena Mike Carley Mike Daniel Mustafa Barraj (student) Nan Gomez-Heitzeberg* Nancy Perkins **Neeley Hatridge** Nicholas Montero-Garcia (student) Nick Strobel* Nicky Damania* Nicole Griffin Nisha Sharma (student) Odella Johnson Patricia Ramirez Qui Jimenez* Rachell Morehouse Ramon Puga Reese Weltman **Regina Hukill Rich McCrow Richard Marguez*** Rozanne Hernandez Sandi Taylor* Sarah Baron Scott Peat Shauna Turner Shohreh Rahman Sondra Keckley Stephen Waller* Steve Watkin Steven Holmes* Sue Vaughn Susan Pinza Talita Pruett Teresa McAllister Terri Goldstein Tim Burke Tim Capehart Todd Coston* Tom Gelder Tracy Lovelace

Wayne Cooper Zav Dadabhoy*

* denotes standard lead.

CCSSE Student Services (Frequency of use, Satisfaction, Importance)

BC Only, Average response shown by year

Frequency: How often have you used the following services?

- 0 = Never
- 1 = 1 time
- 2 = 2-4 times
- 3 = 5 or more times

Service(s)		Survey Year		
	2011	2014	2017	
Academic advising / planning	0.70	0.75	1.47	
Career counseling	0.45	0.42	0.89	
Job placement services	0.16	0.16	0.23	
Transfer advising / planning	0.34	0.33	0.76	
Peer or other tutoring	0.33	0.37	1.01	
Skill labs (writing, math, etc.)	0.56	0.58	0.94	
Child care	0.07	0.06	0.10	
Financial aid advising	0.78	0.72	1.17	
Computer lab	0.83	0.81	1.20	
Student organizations	0.25	0.25	0.44	
Library resources and services			1.74	
Services for students with disabilities	0.13	0.11	0.15	
Services for active military and veterans			0.09	
Minimum N	1104	1116	1139	
Maximum N	1117	1192	1169	

Satisfaction: How satisfied are you with the services?

0 = Not at all

- 1 = Somewhat
- 2 = Very

Service(s)		Survey Year		
	2011	2014	2017	
Academic advising / planning	1.16	1.17	1.28	
Career counseling	1.07	1.01	1.20	
Job placement services	0.81	0.79	0.86	
Transfer advising / planning	1.04	1.01	1.24	
Peer or other tutoring	1.14	1.20	1.41	
Skill labs (writing, math, etc.)	1.34	1.28	1.36	
Child care	0.77	0.74	0.85	
Financial aid advising	1.33	1.26	1.35	
Computer lab	1.41	1.37	1.48	
Student organizations	0.97	1.00	1.12	
Library resources and services			1.58	
Services for students with disabilities	1.08	0.98	1.1	
Services for active military and veterans			0.91	
Minimum N	253	238	184	
Maximum N	900	1002	955	

Note: Responses of "N.A." were removed

Importance: How important are the services to you?

1 = Not at all

- 2 = Somewhat
- 3 = Very

Service(s)		Survey Year		
	2011	2014	2017	
Academic advising / planning	2.62	2.67	2.69	
Career counseling	2.48	2.47	2.49	
Job placement services	2.10	2.10	2.13	
Transfer advising / planning	2.33	2.34	2.44	
Peer or other tutoring	2.22	2.28	2.37	
Skill labs (writing, math, etc.)	2.28	2.34	2.33	
Child care	1.85	1.76	1.86	
Financial aid advising	2.56	2.51	2.54	
Computer lab	2.43	2.41	2.32	
Student organizations	1.93	1.96	1.99	
Library resources and services			2.58	
Services for students with disabilities	2.12	2.07	2.09	
Services for active military and veterans			1.98	
Minimum N	1057	1134	1075	
Maximum N	1090	1164	1129	

An early analysis of MM 4.0 at Bakersfield College

Prepared by Craig Hayward & David Buitron, OIE

8/16/18

BAKERSFIELD COLLEGE

In spring 2018, Bakersfield College began placing students via a new set of multiple measures decision rules. In particular, the requisite high school cumulative GPA required for placement into transfer-level English B1A was set at 1.90, whereas it had previously been set at 2.60, in accordance with the statewide MMAP placement decision rules. Changing the placement rule to 1.90 was intended to increase throughput. That is, it was expected based on prior research, that students who begin in the transfer-level class, even students with lower range high school GPAs, have a greater chance of successfully completing the transfer-level class than those who begin in developmental coursework. Additionally, this change was an initial step toward compliance with AB 705, which is required by fall 2019. This paper provides early results on whether the placement rule change is having the intended effect of increasing student throughput.

The population of greatest interest comprises students with a high school GPA from 1.9 to 2.59. This group is highlighted in Tables 1, 2, and 3. In Table 1, new students with HS GPAs in the specified range successfully completed ENGL B1A 33% of the time. This one-semester throughput rate is compared to the throughput rate of students in Table 2 who were placed one-level below under the MM 3.0 decision rules. Only 21% of those students had completed transfer-level English after one full year. Thus, the expectation that direct placement into transfer-level coursework would maximize student throughput was correct; 33% is twelve percentage points higher than 21%, a 57% relative increase in throughput for students in 1.9 to 2.59 high school GPA performance band.

HS GPA	Ν	Successes in ENGL B1A	Throughput rate	Avg. HS GPA
2.60+	122	83	68%	3.30
1.90-2.59	30	10	33%	2.30
<1.90	0			
All w. GPAs	152	93	61%	3.10

Table 1. English B1A completion of new students who began at transfer-level in summer 2018 by HS GPA

Table 2. English B1A completion of new	i students who began at one-level	below in summer 2017 by HS GPA

HS GPA	Ν	Successes in ENGL B1A*	Throughput rate	Avg. HS GPA
2.60+	30	13	43%	3.12
1.90-2.59	38	8	21%	2.33
<1.90	8	2	25%	1.54
All w. GPAs	76	23	30%	

*Within one year (i.e., by the end of spring 2018).

Table 3. English B1A completion of	new students who began at transfer-level	in summer 2017 by HS GPA

HS GPA	Ν	Successes in ENGL B1A	Throughput rate	Avg. HS GPA
2.60+	77	59	77%	3.28
1.90-2.59	21	13	62%	2.42
<1.90	2	2	100%*	1.52
All w. GPAs	100	74	74%	

* Sample size too small to draw reliable conclusions.

Also worth noting is that students who were placed directly into ENGL B1A in summer 2017 (see Table 3) enjoyed generally higher success and throughput rates than students in summer 2018, across all high school GPA performance bands (74% vs. 61%, overall). Students in the 1.9 to 2.59 HS GPA performance band, in particular, had higher success/throughput rates in summer 2017 vs. 2018 (62% vs. 33%).

Institutional Projects: Fall, 2018

Project	Scaling Focus	GP Pillars	Team
CAGP Award: Action Plan \$2.2M / 5 years	Student Progression & Completion: Pathways Mapper, Academic Support Services;	I, II, III, IV	Prepared by : Lesley Bonds, Jessica Wojtysiak Contributors: GP
Leading from the Middle	Transfer Pathways Student Progression & Completion : Pathways Mapper, Academic Support Services; Transfer Pathways	I,II	Implementation Team Prepared by: Liz Rozell, Craig Hayward, Mark Osea, Jennifer Johnson, Jonathan Schultz Contributors: GP Implementation Team, Curriculum
2018-2021 Strategic Directions	Leadership and Engagement	I, II, III, IV	Prepared by: Todd Coston Contributors: Strategic Directions Taskforce, AIQ
Data Warehouse	Facilities	I,II,III, IV	Prepared by: Craig Hayward Contributors: Office of Institutional Effectiveness
Chancellor's Student Success Award	Student Learning, Student Progression and Completion	I,II	Prepared by: Lesley Bonds, Jessica Wojtysiak Contributors: GP Implementation Team
Education Grant	Student Learning, Student Progression and Completion	I,II,III, IV	Prepared by: Kimberly Bligh, Erica Menchaca Contributors: Education Pathway
Program Review eLumen Pilot	Student Learning, Student Progression and Completion	IV	Prepared by: Program Review